

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DREW J. RIBAR,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WASHOE COUNTY, NV, et al,

Defendants.

Case Number 3:24-cv-00526-ART-CSD

**MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS**

**Dated: January 23, 2025**

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE CRAIG S. DENNEY, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: Ashlyn Bye REPORTER: Liberty Court Recorder

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF: Drew Ribar

COUNSEL FOR THE COUNTY DEFENDANTS: Michael Large

COUNSEL FOR BUILD OUR CENTER, INC.: Alison Gansert Kertis

**MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND  
MOTION HEARING**

1:27 p.m. Court convenes.

The Court addresses the parties on the purpose of today's hearing and has reviewed Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification (ECF No. 39) and Defendant Build Our Center, Inc.'s Motion to Stay Discovery (ECF No. 49).

The Court addresses the Motion for Clarification (ECF No. 39). Mr. Ribar informs the Court of the lack of response by Defendant Build Our Center, Inc. and that he previously filed a motion for default (ECF No. 35). Mr. Large explains that there was nothing nefarious about contacting Ms. Kertis. Ms. Kertis explains when she was retained by Defendant Build Our Center, Inc.

The Court addresses the Motion to Stay Discovery (ECF No. 49). Mr. Large advises that the Washoe County Defendants do not oppose the stay. The Court recites findings on the record. The Court utilizes the preliminary peek test when determining a stay of discovery. The Court advises Mr. Ribar that discovery will proceed with the Washoe County Defendants. The parties are reminded to adhere to the Civil Standing Order (ECF No. 28) regarding discovery disputes.

